

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,274	01/29/2001	Patrick A. Banas	60426-206/00P7843US01	60426-206/00P7843US01 8871	
7	590 03/04	02			
Elsa Keller	DDOD ATION	EXAMINER			
SIEMENS CORPORATION 186 Wood Avenue South			NGUYEN, PHUNG		
Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2632		
		DATE MAII ED: 03/04/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

GM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>40</del>				
. Advisory Action	09/772,274	BANAS, PATRICK A.	ÿ				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phung T Nguyen	2632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	;				
THE REPLY FILED 02/04/02 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a	on fee under as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT p	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			t an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	· ·						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15-18</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: 19-24.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 19, the air conditioner system 2 of Saitoh et al. blows cool air in order to lower the temperature in a vehicle when sleepiness is detected. Claim 22, the system of Brownlee pumps oxygen into a passenger compartment 12 in order to prevent many accidents caused by sleepy drivers (col. 3, lines 9-51). Based on the above rationale, it's believed that the limitations of claims are still met.

p. Nguyen 705-308-6252

DANIEL J. WU Primary Examiner

03/07/02